

Annual Audit Letter 2016/17

London Borough of Tower Hamlets

October 2017



Contents

The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

Andrew Sayers Partner KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 07802 975171 andrew.sayers@kpmg.co.uk

Antony Smith Manager KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 07824 415095 antony.smith@kpmg.co.uk

	Page
Report sections	
— Headlines	3
Appendices	
1. Key issues and recommendations	6
2. Summary of reports issues	8
3. Audit fees	9

This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. Public Sector Audit Appointments issued a document entitled Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies summarising where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from audited bodies. We draw your attention to this document which is available on Public Sector Audit Appointment's website (www.psaa.co.uk).

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact Andrew Sayers, the engagement lead to the Authority and the national lead partner for all of KPMG's work under our contract with Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access PSAA's complaints procedure by emailing generalenquiries@psaa.co.uk, by telephoning 020 7072 7445 or by writing to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, 3rd Floor, Local Government House, Smith Square, London, SW1P 3HZ.



© 2017 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

Section one

Headlines

This Annual Audit Letter summarises the outcome from our audit work at the London Borough of Tower Hamlets in relation to the 2016/17 audit year.

VFM

Although it is addressed to Members of the Authority, it is also intended to communicate these key messages to key external stakeholders, including members of the public, and will be placed on the Authority's website.

The VFM conclusion was issued with an 'except for' qualification.

We identified one significant risk and two areas of audit focus in relation to our VFM work in our External audit plan 2016/17. The conclusion significant risk was in relation to the implementation of the Best Value (BV) action plans and the areas of focus were the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS); and the 'clear up' project. We worked with officers throughout the year to discuss these VFM risks. For the MTFS and the 'clear up' project, we concluded that there was no adverse impact on the VFM conclusion for these risks.

In terms of our VFM conclusion our key consideration has been in relation to the progress made on the areas which led us to qualify our VFM conclusion in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16. These areas were grant payments and connected decisions; disposal of property and the granting of leasehold interests; spending on publicity; and corporate governance arrangements in the three areas. Our qualification for 2014/15 additionally referred to our Section 11 recommendation, reflecting our view that the Authority needed to ensure that its governance processes were appropriate in a wider sense for the Authority as a whole and as part of its programme of cultural change and not just the areas referred to in the BV Inspection report.

In terms of 2016/17 we have considered the reporting by the Commissioners to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government (SoS for CLG) and the extent to which the Authority's BV action plans were reported as actions completed. For our Section 11 recommendation, we are satisfied that the issues have been incorporated into the Authority's organisational culture BV action plan.

The Commissioners' February 2017 letter to the SoS for CLG was positive and set out their endorsement of the progress that had been made in all areas of the Direction regime. In particular the Commissioners welcomed the Authority's realistic appreciation not only of what has been done, but also what remains to be done to fulfil the expectations for the Directions. The Authority was clear that it would take some 12 months to complete the work required. The Authority has developed this work into a BV Improvement Plan under five areas: elections; communications; property; grants; and organisational culture.

The SoS for CLG has ended the 2014 Directions and not extended the appointment of the Commissioners beyond 31 March 2017 in recognition of the Authority's progress. In light of the remaining work the SoS CLG has made three new, less intrusive Directions (in force until 30 September 2018) which require the Authority to set up a Best Value Improvement Board (with cross party and independent membership); submit guarterly progress reports on the BV improvement plan to the SoS CLG; and set up an independent review of achievement of the BV improvement plan with a report to the SoS CLG by 1 August 2018.

The latest progress report shows that delivery is on track for around 80% of the 115 actions/milestones detailed in the Authority's BV improvement plan. The Authority is monitoring progress closely, has not identified any significant concerns with respect to the delayed/overdue actions, and is attempting to take corrective action to bring delayed/overdue actions back on track.

From all of the above commentary we have noted that the Authority has made good progress and this has been acknowledged by external parties. However, with respect to the VFM conclusion we are required to consider the financial year as a whole and thus there were a number of actions that were not completed within 2016/17.

We have therefore concluded that the Authority has not made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources throughout 2016/17. However, we are now satisfied that none of the remaining issues have an adverse impact on the 'sustainable resource deployment' sub criterion. We therefore issued a qualified VFM conclusion on 29 September 2017, on an 'except for' basis rather than the adverse basis that we applied in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16.



© 2017 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

Section one

Headlines

An unqualified audit opinion was issued for 2016/17.	Audit opinion	We issued an unqualified opinion on the Authority's financial statements on 29 September 2017. This means that we believe the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority and of its expenditure and income for the year. The financial statements also include those of the Pension Fund.
	Financial statements	We noted that the Authority has maintained the quality of the accounts and the supporting working papers. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries.
	audit	We identified six significant financial statements audit risks in our 2016/17 External audit plan. We worked with officers throughout the year to discuss these key risks. Our key findings are:
		There are no unadjusted audit differences.
		 We agreed presentational changes to the accounts with Finance, mainly related to compliance with the CIPFA / LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2016/17.
		 There were no matters of any significance arising as a result of our audit work in Property, Plant and Equipment; valuation of Pension Fund Assets; and assessment and disclosure of pension liabilities.
		In relation to grant payments and property leases the issues that we identified in our 2015/16 ISA260 report still apply (as the Authority have only addressed them in 2017/18). In summary several Mainstream Grant payments have been identified that were not made in accordance with all of the conditions set by Commissioners. Specifically for the organisations receiving the grant there was no formal agreement in place setting out the agreed use/occupation of the property. Thus for 11 organisations the Authority has concluded that no formal property agreement was in place for 2015/16. In 2016/17 the Authority made 20 payments to 5 organisations where property agreements were not in place and thus these payments are considered to be unlawful with a total value of £79,000 (the Authority made no payments to the other organisations in 2016/17). An additional disclosure was made in the Authority's financial statements.
		 In relation to section 106 agreements our testing in 2016/17 did not identify any issues. In 2015/16 we noted two schemes where the Authority had not spent the monies received within the timescales specified. This year we have noted that for one the balance is fully committed and expected to be largely spent during 2017/18, and for the other the Authority is awaiting the resolution of a legal dispute with the developers regarding how the development has been completed. Last year we also noted that a further scheme was due to expire in January 2017. However, an additional contribution has now been received and therefore the date by which the funds need to be used has been revised to 2022. Finally, the Authority is in the completion stage of implementing the new software system to help to manage section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy agreements.
		 For declarations of interest we noted that the Authority is reporting significant improvement in compliance with the requirement to make annual interest declarations. However, the Authority has also noted that improvement is needed in qualitative terms of ensuring that the declarations include the right things and is working on ways to enhance knowledge of what should be declared.



© 2017 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

Section one Headlines (cont)

We have not issued our certificate to confirm the completion of our audit responsibilities for the 2016/17 audit year, as there are objections outstanding.

Other information accompanying the financial statements	Whilst not explicitly covered by our audit opinion, we review other information that accompanies the financial statements to consider its material consistency with the audited accounts. This year we reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report. We concluded that they were consistent with our understanding and did not identify any issues.
Pension fund audit	There were no significant issues arising from our audit of the pension fund and we issued an unqualified opinion on the pension fund financial statements as part of our audit report.
Whole of Government Accounts	We reviewed the consolidation pack which the Authority prepared to support the production of Whole of Government Accounts by HM Treasury. We reported that the Authority's pack was consistent with the audited financial statements.
Recommendations	We have not made any new recommendations as a result of our 2016/17 work. However, this should be viewed in the context that the 2015/16 ISA 260, which included two recommendations, was finalised at the same time as the 2016/17 ISA260 (the 2015/16 recommendations are included in Appendix 1); and the new Directions issued by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in March 2017, which set out the Authority's Best Value Improvement Plan.
	We will formally follow up these recommendations as part of our 2017/18 work.
Objection concluded	We have completed our consideration of one objection received in relation to the Authority's 2014/15 financial statements. The objection related to the Lender Option Borrower Option (LOBO) loans that the Authority took out in 2007 and 2009/10. We were requested to apply to court that the LOBO loans should be declared unlawful items of account; and also to consider whether a public interest report should be issued. Having considered the matters raised we concluded that we would not take any action in relation to the LOBO loans ie that we did not consider that there was an unlawful item of account to be declared and that there were no issues that required a public interest report.
Certificate	We have two remaining objections from Local Government Electors that we are considering one is in relation to parking matters and the Authority's 2013/14 financial statements; and the other relates to two of the Authority's Private Finance Initiative schemes and the 2016/17 financial statements.
	Until we have completed our consideration of these objections we will not be in a position to formally conclude the audit and issue an audit certificate.
Audit fee	The scale fee for 2016/17 was £209,918, excluding VAT. We have requested a fee variation, and this is being considered by Public Sector Audit Appointments. Further detail is contained in Appendix 3.



© 2017 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

Appendices Appendix 1: Key issues and recommendations

No.	Issue and recommendation	Management response / responsible officer / due date
1	 Grant Payments/Property Leases Our work has identified several issues relating to grant payments and the controls over them. Matters arising include: No central listing of grant payments in 2015/16 was available, making identification of grants paid difficult; One instance where the allocation awarded by the Commissioners was exceeded by almost 20% (£34,000); and Fifty grant payments made in 2015/16 (to 11 organisations), with a value of £152,000 have been identified by the Council as being unlawful due to the lack of a formal property agreement being in place; Recommendation (2015/16 medium priority) The Authority should: Ensure that the single system that the Authority now requires all Directorates to use to record all grant payments is used universally and all grant payments for 2016/17 onwards are captured on it; Clarify the arrangements for grants (particularly demand led ones) to ensure that additional approval by Commissioners/ the relevant Authority Committee/officer is required (even where the over spend is being managed within the Directorate's overall resources); Ensure that all unlawful grant payments have been identified and disclosed in the financial statements; and Ensure that all grant programmes have been assessed to ensure that recipients are complying with the Commissioners' requirements that all grant recipients occupying Authority property must have a formal property agreement in place. 	The council maintains a grant register that logs all grants and is updated on a monthly basis. All grant payments are made via the council's Agresso payment system and there is a reconciliation of grant payments made. The Council is procuring a new online system and all grants will be recorded on the system once it is fully implemented. This will replace the grants register and will automate the majority of the currently manual processes. All Managers with budget responsibility for grants will be written to in September 2017 and reminded that expenditure must be routinely monitored and any additional approval required is sought from the Grants Determination (Cabinet) Sub Committee. All grant payments to organisations occupying council buildings have been reviewed and any associated unlawful payments have been identified and disclosed in the statement of accounts. On-going monitoring arrangements are in place. The Council completed an exercise to identify all MSG and other grant payments that have been made where an appropriate premises agreement was potentially not in place at the time of payment. In most cases, premises agreements have now been provided. The remaining cases are being monitored and progress updates are regularly reported to Grants Determination (Cabinet) Sub Committee. The checking process for MSG payments and premises agreements has been strengthened and grant officers undertake premises checks with an additional check between the grant officer and team manager made at the time of the authorisation of MSG payments to ensure premises conditions are met. Responsible Officer - Steve Hill, Head of Benefits Services



Appendices Appendix 1: Key issues and recommendations

No.	Issue and recommendation	Management response / responsible officer / due date
	 Declarations of Interest The BV Inspection report refers to several instances where there are relationships with other parties. The BV Inspection report does not conclude as to whether these relationships represented significant concerns or were improper. However, there appears to be the potential for interests that should be declared not being so, possibly due to due to incomplete knowledge about who the Authority is doing business with, or seeking to do business with. As a minimum this gives the potential for reputational damage to the Authority. Recommendation (2014/15 high priority recommendation) The Authority should: 1. Review its policies, procedures and processes for identifying potential interests and ensuring declarations are up to date and complete; 2. Consider whether improvements can be made to ensure relevant members and officers are aware of organisations and individuals seeking to do business with or interact with the Authority; and 3. Ensure that all relevant members and officers receive at least annual training and reminders about their responsibilities and the need to ensure interest declarations are complete and up to date. 	 We have reviewed the actions taken by the Authority which now include a requirement for all staff to complete an annual declaration. Our testing of the declarations made has not identified any issues. However, we have noted a number of concerns: The initial response by staff to the new requirement was slow. We understand that the Authority has now received over 90% of expected returns, which has taken 6 months and a 100% return is essential to meet the aims of the exercise; We understand that the Authority is satisfied that every member of staf has been identified and therefore required to complete a declaration form, but our experience elsewhere suggests that it is worthwhile obtaining further assurance on this aspect, such as from an internal audit review; Human Resources have provided Corporate Directors and Heads of Service with reports that identify whether submitted declarations have been authorised or rejected by line managers to help inform whether to consider further appropriate action if there are areas of concern. In view of the concerns expressed by the BV Inspection and Commissioners we would anticipate that a further level of assurance is sought as to how robust the process has been in terms of considering the declarations made and any follow up action taken; and There is little in the way of comprehensive training so that staff are clear what the Authority's requirements and objectives are understood clearly by staff and that they have the necessary information to complete declarations properly and to support the Authority in terms of any issues that might arise from incomplete declarations.



Appendices Appendix 2: Summary of reports issued

This appendix summarises the reports issued over the last year.

These reports can be accessed via the Audit Committee pages on the Authority's website at www.towerhamlets.gov.uk.

External Audit Plan (January 2017)

The External Audit Plan set out our approach to the audit of the Authority's financial statements and to work to support the VFM conclusion.

Audit Fee Letter (April 2017)

The Audit Fee Letter set out the proposed audit work and draft fee for the 2017/18 financial year.

Statement of Reasons (August 2017)

A Statement of Reasons following consideration of an objection raised by a Local Government Elector, relating to the Authority's Lender Option Borrower Option loans.

Auditor's Report (September 2017)

The Auditor's Report included our audit opinion on the financial statements including the pension fund accounts along with our VFM conclusion.

Certification of Grants and Returns (January 2017) This report summarised the outcome of our

2017

January

February

March

April

May

June

July

August

September

October

November

-

-

≁

certification work on the Authority's 2015/16 grants and returns.

Report to Those Charged with Governance (September 2017

The Report to Those Charged with Governance summarised the results of our audit work for 2016/17 including key issues and recommendations raised as a result of our observations.

We also provided the mandatory declarations required under auditing standards as part of this report.

Annual Audit Letter (October 2017)

This Annual Audit Letter provides a summary of the results of our audit for 2016/17.



© 2017 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

Document Classification: KPMG Confidential

Appendices Appendix 3: Audit fees

This appendix provides information on our final fees for the 2016/17 audit. To ensure transparency about the extent of our fee relationship with the Authority we have summarised below the outturn against the 2016/17 planned audit fee.

External audit

The scale fee for the 2016/17 audit of the Authority was £209,918. We have requested a fee variation in relation to the additional work needed to address the risks and issues arising from the BV Inspection; resulting Directions; and associated risks. Our fees are therefore still subject to final determination by Public Sector Audit Appointments.

Our final fee for the 2016/17 audit of the Pension Fund was in line with the planned fee of $\pounds 21,000$.

Certification of grants and returns

Under our terms of engagement with Public Sector Audit Appointments we undertake prescribed work in order to certify the Authority's housing benefit grant claim. This certification work is still ongoing. The final fee will be confirmed through our reporting on the outcome of that work in January 2018.

Other services

We expect to charge £7,000 for additional audit-related services for the certification of the Teachers' Pensions grant claim and the Capital Receipts return, which are outside of Public Sector Audit Appointment's certification regime. This certification work is still ongoing. The final fee will be confirmed through our reporting on the outcome of that work in January 2018.

We did not undertake any non audit-related work in 2016/17.







The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2017 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

KPMG LLP is multi-disciplinary practice authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. For full details of our professional regulation please refer to 'Regulatory Information' at www.kpmg.com/uk

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.